Hyon



# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PATRICIA BAILEY, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

18

4773

Plaintiff,

C.A No:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

CONTRACT CALLERS, INC. and JOHN DOES 1-25,

-v.-

FILED

NOV 0 5 2018

KATE BARKMAN, Clerk By \_\_\_\_\_ Dep. Clerk

Defendants.

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Patricia Bailey (hereinafter, "Plaintiff" or "Bailey"), a Pennsylvania resident, brings this Class Action Complaint by and through her attorneys, Garibian Law Offices, P.C., against Defendant Contract Callers, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant" or "Defendant Contract Callers"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

### INTRODUCTION

1. Congress enacted the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "FDCPA" or "Act") in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time,

Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." *Id.* Congress concluded that "existing laws...[we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that "the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).

2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." *Id.* § 1692(e). "After determining that the existing consumer protection laws were inadequate." *Id.* § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. *Id.* § 1692k.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq. The Court has pendent jurisdiction over any state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), as this is where a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of Pennsylvania consumers under §1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and
  - 6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory relief.

### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Bucks, residing at 208 Rocksville Road, Holland, PA 18966.
- 8. Defendant Contract Callers is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA with an address at 501 Greene Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Suite 302, Augusta, Georgia 30901.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 10. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 11. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of the following class, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
- 12. The Class consists of all individuals with addresses in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to whom Defendant Contract Callers sent a collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt and making a settlement offer which:
  - 1. stated that it will not sue the consumer;
  - 2. did not clearly state that the consumer could no longer be sued by any party;
  - failed to disclose that the previously-lapsed statute of limitations to file a lawsuit to collect the debt will recommence upon payment;

- 4. was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (21) days after the filing of this action.
- 13. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 14. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.
- 15. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e and 1692g.
- 16. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 17. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:

- Numerosity: The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.
- 2. <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominate over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A violate 15 USC §§ 1692e and 1692g.
- 3. <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members. The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- 4. Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- 5. <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a

single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.

- 18. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 19. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 20. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 21. Some time prior to November 6, 2017, an obligation was allegedly incurred to TMobile by the Plaintiff.
- 22. The TMobile obligation arose out of telecommunications services provided to Plaintiff by TMobile. Plaintiff used these services primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
  - 23. The alleged TMobile obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(5).
- 24. Sometime thereafter, the alleged TMobile debt was purportedly sold, transferred or assigned to current creditor T-Mobile USA, Inc. from the original creditor TMobile.
- 25. Creditors TMobile and/or T-Mobile USA, Inc. contracted with the Defendant Contract Callers to collect the alleged debt.

26. Defendant Contract Callers collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet.

### Violation - November 6, 2017 Collection Letter

- 27. On or about November 6, 2017, Defendant Contract Callers sent Plaintiff an initial written communication (the "Letter") seeking to collect an alleged debt. See November 6, 2017 Collection Letter Attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 28. When a debt collector solicits payment from a consumer, it must, within five days of an initial communication, notify the consumer in writing of:
  - 1. the amount of the debt;
  - 2. the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
  - a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector;
  - 4. a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of the judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
  - a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor. 15
     U.S.C. § 1692g(a).

- 29. The FDCPA further provides that "if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty day period . . . that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed . . . the debt collector shall cease collection . . . until the debt collector obtains verification of the debt . . . and a copy of such verification is mailed to the consumer by the debt collector." 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b).
- 30. Although a collection letter may track the statutory language, "the collector nevertheless violates the Act if it conveys that information in a confusing or contradictory fashion so as to cloud the required message with uncertainty." Russell v. EQUIFAX A.R.S., 74 F.3d 30, 35 (2d Cir. 1996) ("It is not enough for a debt collection agency to simply include the proper debt validation notice in a mailing to a consumer-- Congress intended that such notice be clearly conveyed."). Put differently, a notice containing "language that 'overshadows or contradicts' other language informing a consumer of her rights . . . violates the Act." Russell, 74 F.3d at 34.
- 31. The very bottom of the Letter states "The law limits how long you can be sued on a debt. Because of the age of your debt, we will not sue you for it. If you do not pay the debt, we (Contract Callers Inc), may report or continue to report it to the credit reporting agencies as unpaid."
- 32. The alleged debt is time-barred, meaning that no party can legally sue to collect this debt.
- 33. The Letter implies that Defendant Contract Callers has chosen not to sue ("we will not sue you"), rather than the accurate statement that neither Defendant Contract Callers, nor TMobile or T-Mobile USA, Inc., nor any subsequent creditor/collector can file a lawsuit.

- 34. Moreover, the Letter is patently false in that Defendant Contract Callers, as a debt collector in this instance, has no legal standing to sue the consumer on the debt.
- 35. Only the creditor or entity who owns the debt has the legal right to sue, which in this case was barred by the statute of limitations.
- 36. Defendant failed to advise Plaintiff of the time-barred status of the debt and merely advised that Defendant will not sue.
- 37. The statement contained in Defendant Contract Caller's Letter is materially false and deceptive to the unsophisticated consumer, who would be led to believe that Defendant, T-Mobile USA, Inc. or a subsequent creditor has the option to sue for the debt.
- 38. Further, the Letter is materially deceptive as it fails to disclose that the previously-lapsed statute of limitations to file a lawsuit to collect the debt will recommence upon payment by Plaintiff.
- 39. The Letter contains Plaintiff various settlement offers to settle the alleged debt for less than the full amount due.
- 40. The settlement offers contained in the Letter only serve to make this Letter more deceptive and misleading in that Defendant attempted to entice Plaintiff to accept a settlement offer for an amount less than the balance due without disclosing that acceptance of these offers would restart the statute of limitations for the creditor to sue Plaintiff on the debt.
- 41. Defendant made false, deceptive and misleading representations when it communicated to Plaintiff that it was opting not to sue Plaintiff, when in fact, it was not permitted to sue as a matter of law, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5) and 1692e(10).

- 42. The deceptive and misleading statements and material omissions, coupled with the proferred settlement offers, caused Plaintiff to fear the potential for a future lawsuit and enticed her with the option of immediate payment for less than the full balance due from Plaintiff.
- 43. These deceptive and misleading statements and material omissions overshadowed the "g-notice" language of the Letter which entitles Plaintiff to a 30-day period to either dispute or request validation of the alleged debt, a violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692g.
- 44. Plaintiff incurred an informational injury as she was falsely advised of her true legal rights with regard to the alleged debt.
- 45. As a result of Defendant's false, deceptive, misleading and unfair debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

### **COUNT I**

# VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

- 46. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 47. Defendants' debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 48. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- 49. Defendant made deceptive and misleading representations when it communicated to Plaintiff that it was choosing not to sue Plaintiff, when in fact, it was not permitted to sue as a matter of law, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(2), 1692e(5) and 1692e(10).
- 50. Further, Defendant failed to advise that any payment made on the debt by Plaintiff would restart the statute of limitations for bringing a lawsuit.

51. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

### **COUNT II**

### VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692g et seq.

- 52. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 53. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692g.
- 54. Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing
  - 1. The amount of the debt;
  - 2. The name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
  - A statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt-collector;
  - 4. A statement that the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer

- and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
- 5. A statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
- 55. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g by failing to clearly and adequately inform the consumer as to the true legal status of the debt and potential ramifications resulting from non-payment and non-payment of the alleged debt.
- 56. Defendant further violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g by making settlement offers within the 30 day "g notice" period, enticing the consumer to make a payment to settle the debt without notifying her that payment would restart the statute of limitation to sue on the debt.
  - 57. These deceptive offers overshadow the "g-notice" contined in the Letter.
- 58. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

### **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

59. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Bailey, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, demands judgment from Defendant Contract Callers, Inc. as follows:

- 1. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative, and Antranig Garibian, Esq. as Class Counsel;
  - 2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
  - 3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- 4. Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
  - 5. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- 6. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: November 5, 2018 Respectfully Submitted,

GARIBIAN LAW OFFICES, P.C.

Antranig Garibian, Esq. PA Bar No. 94538 1800 JFK Blvd, Suite 300 Philadelphia, PA 19103 ag@garibianlaw.com Attorneys For Plaintiff

# EXHIBIT A

PO Box 2207 Augusta, GA 30903-2207

Personal & Confidential

➤ Address Changed? Make Changes Below

հղեվիաիկիկիկիկիկիկիկիկիկութարգորդիկիկ PATRICIA BAILEY

TO PAY BY CREINT CARD, PLEASE COMPLETE THE SECTION	o Visa o	
C AND NUMBER	EXP DATE	
SIGNATURE	SECURITY CONE	
	AMOUNT	

| PO Box 2207 Augusta, GA 30903-2207

➤ Billing ➤ E-Mail	Phone Number: Address.	

3

▲ Please Detach And Return in The Enclosed Envelope With Your Payment ▲



Hours of Operation
Monday - Thursday 8AM-9PM EST
Friday 9AM-6PM EST
November 6, 2017

501 Greene Street, 3rd Floor Suite 302 • Augusta, GA 30901 844-202-6925



#### Dear PATRICIA BAILEY,

Sometimes difficult situations arise that can cause financial hardship. We have developed three affordable options for you to pay off this account if the debt is not disputed. We want you to know that you do have options to resolve this account.

- DISCOUNT OFFER
  Pay \$164.55 (60% Reduction) and your account will be considered "Satisfied" after we post your payment.
  T-MOBILE USA, INC. IS NOT REQUIRED TO RENEW THESE OFFERS
- MONTHLY PAYMENT PLAN

  Pay 4 monthly installments: 3 equal payments of \$102.85 and a final payment of \$102.83. Your account will be considered "Paid in Full" after your final payment posts to your account.
- ADDITIONAL PAYMENT OPTIONS
  Contact one of our Professional Representatives to discuss our various payment options.

This communication is from a debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving the notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request of this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

4	Call Toll-Free 844-202-6925 to discuss payment atrangements	
P	You can now pay online at: www.contractcallers.com	
	Pay by mail to: Contract Callers, Inc. • PO BOX 2207 AUGUSTA, • GA 30903-2207	
G	Pay by MoneyGram: Use Receiver Code 4994	

The law limits how long you can be sued on a debt. Decause of the age of your debt, we will not sue you for it. If you do not pay the debt, we (Contract Callers Inc), may report or continue to report it to the credit reporting agencies as unpaid.

JS 44 (Rev 06/17)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SFE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

(a) PLAINTIFFS Patricia Bailey, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situ				DEFENDANTS Contract Callers, I	nc and Jo	ohn Does 1-25			
(b) County of Residence of (b)  (c) Attorneys (Firm Name A) Antranig Garibian, Esq 0 1800 JFK Blvd, Suite 300 215-326-9179 ag@garib	Address and Telephone Number Garibian Law Offices, I D, Philadelphia, PA 19	Zc			ANUSF	PLAINTIFF CASES O		 )F	
			777 G	TIZENCIUD OE D	DINCIP	A DADTIEC			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI  O 1 US Government Plaintiff	S 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)		Citiz	en of This State	TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T	and One Box fo incipal Place his State	PTF  3 4	DEF
Defendant	Diversity     Indicate Cuizenship	p of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	12 51 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		O 5	J 5
				en or Subject of a Greign Country	3 0 3	Foreign Nation		76	J 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) ORTS	F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY		here for Nature of			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Stuts ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  3 310 Airplane  3 315 Airplane Product Liability  3 320 Assault, Libel & Slander  3 330 Federal Employers' Liability  3 340 Marine  3 345 Marine Product Liability  3 350 Motor Vehicle  7 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  3 360 Other Personal Injury  3 362 Personal Injury  Medical Malpractice  CIVIL RIGHTS  3 440 Other Civil Rights  3 441 Voting  3 442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  3 445 Amer w. Disabilities Employment  446 Amer w. Disabilities Other  3 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPEF  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITION  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Oth  550 Civil Rights  555 Prison Condition  560 Civil Detainee  Conditions of  Confinement	7 G 69  STY G 79  STY G 79  STY G 79  STY G 79	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 10 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Lingation 61 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions	7 422 App 7 423 With 28 U PROPE 7 820 Cop 7 830 Pate 7 830 Pate 7 840 Trad 862 Blac 7 863 DIW 7 864 SSII 7 865 RSI FEDER 7 870 Laxe or D 7 871 IRS 26 U	eal 28 USC 158 idrawal JSC 157  RTY RIGHTS yrights int - Abbreviated Drug Application lemark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) (7:D1WW (405(g)) D Title XVI	☐ 375 False Cla ☐ 376 Qui Tam	amps Act (31 USC) apportuning ad Banking ce ton re Influence or Credit t TV st/Commod te attutory Act aral Acts the attutory Act aral Act	ed and ons ditties tions ters tation
	moved from 3 3 te Court	Appellate Court		pened Anothe (specify		7 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidist Litigation Direct File	n -
VIX CAUSE OF ACTIO	N Fair Debt Collecti Brief description of ca	on Practices Act - 1	15 USC				-/-		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		nisleading and dece EMAND \$	C	ction letter THECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in	complain JNo	ıt
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY		JUDGE				T NUMBER	NOV -5	201	8
TOTALE STATE OF STATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEY O	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE COLUMN		_							

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG JUDGE

Case 2:18-cv-04773-AB Document 1 Filed 11/05/18 Page 17 of 18

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



4773

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA DESIGNATION FORM (to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiffio indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar) 208 Rocksville Road, Holland, PA 18966 Address of Plaintiff: 501 Greene St., 3rd Fl. Suite 302, Augusta, GA 30901 Address of Defendant: Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: See Plaintiff address **RELATED CASE, IF ANY:** Judge \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Case Number Date Terminated Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court? Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is inot related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above Attorney I D # (if applicable) Attorner at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff CIVIL: (Place a v in one category only) Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury Jones Act-Personal Injury Assault, Defamation 4 Antitrust Marine Personal Injury Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Other Personal Injury (Please specify) Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights Products Liability Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos Securities Act(s) Cases All other Diversity Cases 10 Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11 All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) \_\_\_\_Fair\_debt\_collections practices\_\_\_\_ ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration) \_ \_\_ counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs Relief other than monetary damages is sought

NAV -5 2018

DATF . \_ - \_ -

Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff

Attorney I D # (if applicable)

NOTE A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with FRCP 38

### Case 2:18-cv-04773-AB Document 1 Filed 11/05/18 Page 18 of 18

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Patricia Bailey et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION.	7 3	2
v.	:		1 16	
Contract Callers, Inc. et al.	: :	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a Case filing the complaint and serve a side of this form.) In the eve designation, that defendant sha	Management Track Designations of all defendants. (See ent that a defendant does not all, with its first appearance, es, a Case Management Trace.	Reduction Plan of this court, counsation Form in all civil cases at the ties \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest agree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and serek Designation Form specifying the ed.	me evers g sa ve o	of se id on
SELECT ONE OF THE FOL	LOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus Cases bro	ought under 28 U.S.C. § 224	1 through § 2255.	(	)
(b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.				
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.				
(d) Asbestos - Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.				
(e) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)				
(f) Standard Management - Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.				
i( / 5 / ( 8 Date	Attorney-at-law	Patricia Bailey Attorney for		_
215-326-9179	267-238-3701	ag@garibianlaw.com		_
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		

(Civ. 660) 10/02